

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/833,926	RYU, SANG Y	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jamal A Fox	2664	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jamal A Fox.

(2) Gregory G. Schivley.

**Status of Application:** 30/Docketed New Case

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 October 2004

**Time:** 3:45 P.M. EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Gregory G. Schivley agreed to correct the spelling error in the Abstract of the Disclosure. Line 8, after "service", "wit" has been changed to -- with --.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)